



US009442224B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Moon et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,442,224 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Sep. 13, 2016**

(54) **METHODS FOR PRODUCING LENS ARRAYS**

(71) Applicant: **SECURENCY INTERNATIONAL PTY LTD**, Craigieburn, Victoria (AU)

(72) Inventors: **Jonathan A. Moon**, Freemans Bay (NZ); **David E. Roberts**, Hillsboro, WI (US)

(73) Assignee: **SECURENCY INTERNATIONAL PTY LTD** (AU)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 35 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/033,649**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 23, 2013**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0160572 A1 Jun. 12, 2014

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/203,070, filed as application No. PCT/AU2010/000243 on Mar. 3, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,537,469.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/157,309, filed on Mar. 4, 2009.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G02B 27/10 (2006.01)
G02B 3/00 (2006.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G02B 3/0037** (2013.01); **B42D 25/29** (2014.10); **G02B 3/005** (2013.01); **G02B 3/0012** (2013.01);

(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC G02B 3/0012; G02B 3/0025; G02B 3/0037; G02B 3/005; G02B 3/0056; G02B 27/06; G02B 27/10; G02B 27/2214; B42D 25/22; B42D 25/29; B42D 25/30; B42D 25/324; B42D 25/41; B42D 2033/00; B42D 2033/24; B42D 2035/26; G03B 5/04; G03B 25/02; G03B 35/18; G03B 35/24; G03B 13/0404; G03B 2209/046
USPC 359/619, 623, 625, 626, 464; 348/51–56, 340; 264/1.37, 1.7; 703/1
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,417,784 A 11/1983 Knop et al.
5,442,482 A * 8/1995 Johnson et al. 359/619

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 2006125224 A2 11/2006

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Search Report for International Patent Application No. PCT/AU2010/000243; Apr. 28, 2010.


Primary Examiner — Loha Ben

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Barnes & Thornburg LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A lens array for imaging image elements in an object plane, and a method of making a lens array. The lens array includes lenslets formed in or on one side of a transparent or translucent material with the image elements disposed on the opposite side, and has a gauge thickness corresponding to the distance from the apex of each lenslet to the object plane. Each lenslet has a set of lens parameters. The gauge thickness and/or at least one lens parameter is or are optimized such that each lenslet has a focal point size in the object plane which is either substantially equal to the size of the image elements in the object plane, or varies from the size of the image elements by a predetermined amount.

7 Claims, 14 Drawing Sheets



(51) **Int. Cl.**

G02B 27/06 (2006.01)
G02B 27/22 (2006.01)
G03B 25/02 (2006.01)
G06F 17/50 (2006.01)
B42D 25/29 (2014.01)
G03B 5/04 (2006.01)
B42D 25/30 (2014.01)
H04N 13/04 (2006.01)
B42D 25/324 (2014.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **G02B 3/0025** (2013.01); **G02B 3/0056**
(2013.01); **G02B 27/06** (2013.01); **G02B**
27/2214 (2013.01); **G03B 25/02** (2013.01);
G06F 17/50 (2013.01); **B42D 25/30** (2014.10);
B42D 25/324 (2014.10); **G03B 5/04** (2013.01);
H04N 13/0404 (2013.01)

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,084,713	A	7/2000	Rosenthal	
6,369,949	B1 *	4/2002	Conley	359/619
6,765,617	B1 *	7/2004	Tangen et al.	348/340
6,833,960	B1	12/2004	Scarborough et al.	
6,989,931	B2	1/2006	Rosenthal	
7,830,601	B2 *	11/2010	Takagi et al.	359/463
8,248,702	B2	8/2012	Hoffman et al.	
8,582,208	B2 *	11/2013	Van Den Berg	G02B 3/0025 359/455
2002/0027300	A1	3/2002	Hartmann et al.	
2007/0058260	A1	3/2007	Steenblik et al.	
2008/0100918	A1	5/2008	Saville, Jr.	
2009/0008923	A1	1/2009	Kaule et al.	

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1
(PRIOR ART)




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8a

Fig. 8b




Fig. 9




Fig. 10a




Fig. 10b




Fig. 11a




Fig. 11b




Fig. 11c




Fig. 11d




Fig. 12a




Fig. 12b




Fig. 12c




Fig. 13a




Fig. 13b




Fig. 13c




Fig. 13d




Fig. 14




Fig. 15a




Fig. 15b




Fig. 16




Fig. 17a




Fig. 17b

1

METHODS FOR PRODUCING LENS ARRAYS

PRIORITY CLAIM

This patent application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/203,070, filed 26 Oct. 2011, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,537,469, which is a U.S. National Phase of International Patent Application No. PCT/AU2010/000243, filed 3 Mar. 2010, which claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/157,309, filed 4 Mar. 2009, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

FIELD

The disclosed embodiments relate to methods for designing and manufacturing lens arrays, and to lens arrays produced thereby.

BACKGROUND

Lens arrays allow the generation of a number of different types of optical effect. For example, an array of lenses focussed on underlying image elements at the focal plane of the array can generate integral images which appear to be three dimensional, which move, change magnification or morph as the viewing angle changes, or which have apparent depth outside the plane of the lens array. A further effect can be achieved by interleaving two or more images underneath the lenses, for example in strips underneath a plurality of cylindrical lenses, so that the viewer sees different images as the viewing angle changes. Such visual effects are useful in a number of applications including displays, promotional materials, collectable articles and as optically variable devices in security documents.

Lens arrays are generally manufactured from transparent polymeric materials to produce a sheet of material, referred to herein as a lenticular sheet. The pattern of the lenslets forming the array is embossed or otherwise formed on one side of the sheet, and the opposing side of the sheet is formed into a flat, generally gloss-type surface. The image elements are applied to or placed at the flat surface and may be formed, for example, by printing or by a laser marking process. The sheet material is commonly manufactured as a monolayer, but multilayer methods are also used.

The image elements may comprise printed dots. In one process, prior to printing, a continuous image representing the desired final print on the flat surface is converted to a halftone image. After printing, the halftone image will appear as a plurality of printed dots on the flat surface.

The thickness (usually termed the gauge thickness) of the lenticular sheet has traditionally been determined by the focal length of the lenslets, such that incoming light rays substantially focus at the flat surface of the sheet. This design is chosen to take advantage of the so-called sampling effect. The sampling effect ensures that a dot printed at the focal length of the lens will appear to an observer at a particular viewing angle as a line across a cylindrical lens, and will appear to fill the entire lens area for a non-cylindrical lens. Therefore, an observer cannot distinguish two adjacent dots inside a single lens at a particular viewing angle.

In some cases, the material thickness and lens frequency (or pitch) of the lenslets may be pre-selected according to the needs of the end product, as well as the gauge limitations of the sheet material manufacturing process. The lens radius of

2

curvature is then determined based on additional parameters such as the refractive index and Abbe number of the polymeric material used, so as to focus incoming light rays substantially at the flat side of the sheet.

The recent trend in the art has been to produce thinner lenticular sheets so as to reduce manufacturing costs, while at the same time broadening the potential applications of optical effect articles. However, a thinner lenticular sheet generally requires a higher lens frequency in order to produce a focussed image. For example, a material produced with a gauge thickness of 85 microns in polyester would require a lens frequency of approximately 224 lenslets per centimeter. Printing optical effect imagery on these high frequency microlens arrays is particularly challenging, and severely limits the type of effect that can be achieved and the type of press and prepress methods than can be used. Furthermore, a high rate of waste material often results as very high line screens must be utilised and very accurate colour-to-colour registration becomes critical. These problems have meant that use of very high frequency lenticular sheet material has heretofore been limited.

One attempt to overcome the above problems is described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,833,960. Lenses are formed as hemispheres on a substrate using curable resins in a printing press. In this method, it is not possible to form the lenses on the substrate at their focal point. The lenses are thus substantially off-focus and this nullifies the sampling effect. The imagery produced by the method is thus substantially blurred.

Another method is described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,989,931 and includes a composite image comprised of printed stripes viewable through a lenticular screen from a first angle, with an object or image placed a distance behind the lenticular screen viewable through transparent stripes at a second angle. In one embodiment, a lenticular material thinner than its focal length can be used since the stripes printed on the flat side of the lenticular material do not contain multiplexed optical effect imagery. The lenslets need not possess the resolving power required for traditional optical effect imagery, but instead view only one half of the area behind each lenslet. However, use of this method for multiplexed lenticular imagery or intricate moiré effects can produce severe blurring.

Accordingly, there is a need for a method of reducing the gauge thickness of a lens array without introducing substantial blurring or other objectionable image artifacts.

In some circumstances it may be desirable to manufacture a lenticular sheet at a particular gauge thickness. If this is the case, it may then be desirable to reduce the lens frequency, i.e. to increase the width of each lenslet, in order to maintain image quality in view of the constraints of the printing process (or other process for forming the image elements) to be used. It is therefore desirable to provide a lens array and a method which allows a lower lens frequency to be used without introducing substantial blurring or other objectionable image artifacts.

Any discussion of documents, acts, materials, devices, articles or the like which has been included in the present specification is solely for providing a context for the disclosed embodiments. It is not to be taken as an admission that any or all of these matters form part of the prior art base or were common general knowledge in the field relevant to the disclosed embodiments as they existed in Australia before the priority date of each claim in this application.

SUMMARY

One disclosed embodiment provides a lens array for imaging a plurality of image elements in an object plane, the

lens array including a plurality of lenslets formed in or on one side of a transparent or translucent material with the image elements disposed on the opposite side, the lens array having a gauge thickness corresponding to the distance from the apex of each lenslet to the object plane, wherein each lenslet has a set of lens parameters, with the gauge thickness and/or at least one lens parameter optimised such that each lenslet has a focal point size in the object plane which is substantially equal to the size of the image elements in the object plane, or which varies from the size of the image elements by predetermined amount.

Another embodiment provides a method of manufacturing a lens array for imaging a plurality of image elements in an object plane, the lens array including a plurality of lenslets, the lens array having a gauge thickness corresponding to the distance from the apex of each lenslet to the object plane, the method including the steps of:

determining a scale parameter which is representative of the size of the image elements in at least part of the object plane,

using the scale parameter to optimize the gauge thickness and/or at least one parameter of a set of lens parameters for each lenslet,

and forming the lens array with the gauge thickness and the lens parameters in or on one side of a transparent or translucent material with the image elements being disposed on the opposite side of the transparent or translucent material,

whereby, the lenslets have a focal point size in the object plane which is substantially equal to the size of the image elements, or which varies from the size of the image elements by a predetermined amount.

The set of lens parameters may include lens width, refractive index, sag height, radius of curvature, conic parameter and Abbe number. Some or all of these may be varied in order to obtain a focal point size with the desired characteristics in the object plane.

Optionally, each lenslet has a cross-section which is a conic section. The lenslets may be cylindrical or have a part-spherical or aspherical cross-section. Each lenslet may be rotationally symmetric in the plane of the lens array. In one embodiment, each lenslet may be an elongate lenticule having substantially uniform cross section along its length.

DEFINITIONS

Focal Point Size H

As used herein, the term focal point size refers to the dimensions, usually an effective diameter or width, of the geometrical distribution of points at which rays refracted through a lens intersect with an object plane at a particular viewing angle. The focal point size may be inferred from theoretical calculations, ray tracing simulations, or from actual measurements. The present inventors have found that ray tracing simulations using software such as ZEMAX closely match direct measurements of lenses designed according to the methods described herein. The ray tracing simulation can be adjusted to account for the fact that incoming rays are not exactly parallel in reality.

Focal Length f

In the present specification, focal length, when used in reference to a microlens in a lens array, means the distance from the vertex of the microlens to the position of the focus given by locating the maximum of the power density distribution when collimated radiation is incident from the lens side of the array (see T. Miyashita, "Standardization for

microlenses and microlens arrays" (2007) *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* 46, p 5391).

Gauge Thickness t

The gauge thickness is the distance from the apex of a lenslet on one side of the transparent or translucent material to the surface on the opposite side of the translucent material on which the image elements are provided which substantially coincides with the object plane.

Lens Frequency and Pitch

The lens frequency of a lens array is the number of lenslets in a given distance across the surface of the lens array. The pitch is the distance from the apex of one lenslet to the apex of the adjacent lenslet. In a uniform lens array, the pitch has an inverse relationship to the lens frequency.

Lens Width W

The width of a lenslet in a microlens array is the distance from one edge of the lenslet to the opposite edge of the lenslet. In a lens array with hemispherical or semi-cylindrical lenslets, the width will be equal to the diameter of the lenslets.

Radius of Curvature R

The radius of curvature of a lenslet is the distance from a point on the surface of the lens to a point at which the normal to the lens surface intersects a line extending perpendicularly through the apex of the lenslet (the lens axis).

Sag Height s

The sag height or surface sag s of a lenslet is the distance from the apex to a point on the axis intersected by the shortest line from the edge of a lenslet extending perpendicularly through the axis.

Refractive Index n

The refractive index of a medium n is the ratio of the speed of light in vacuo to the speed of light in the medium. The refractive index n of a lens determines the amount by which light rays reaching the lens surface will be refracted, according to Snell's law:

$$n_1 \sin(\alpha) = n \sin(\theta),$$

where α is the angle between an incident ray and the normal at the point of incidence at the lens surface, θ is the angle between the refracted ray and the normal at the point of incidence, and n_1 is the refractive index of air (as an approximation n_1 may be taken to be 1).

Conic Constant P

The conic constant P is a quantity describing conic sections, and is used in geometric optics to specify spherical ($P=1$), elliptical ($0 < P < 1$, or $P > 1$), parabolic ($P=0$), and hyperbolic ($P < 0$) lens. Some references use the letter K to represent the conic constant. K is related to P via $K=P-1$.

Lobe Angle

The lobe angle of a lens is the entire viewing angle formed by the lens.

Abbe Number

The Abbe number of a transparent or translucent material is a measure of the dispersion (variation of refractive index with wavelength) of the material. An appropriate choice of Abbe number for a lens can help to minimize chromatic aberration.

Security Document

As used herein, the term security document includes all types of documents and tokens of value and identification documents including, but not limited to the following: items of currency such as banknotes and coins, credit cards, cheques, passports, identity cards, securities and share certificates, driver's licences, deeds of title, travel documents

such as airline and train tickets, entrance cards and tickets, birth, death and marriage certificates, and academic transcripts.

Transparent Windows and Half Windows

As used herein the term window refers to a transparent or translucent area in the security document compared to the substantially opaque region to which printing is applied. The window may be fully transparent so that it allows the transmission of light substantially unaffected, or it may be partly transparent or translucent partially allowing the transmission of light but without allowing objects to be seen clearly through the window area.

A window area may be formed in a polymeric security document which has at least one layer of transparent polymeric material and one or more opacifying layers applied to at least one side of a transparent polymeric substrate, by omitting least one opacifying layer in the region forming the window area. If opacifying layers are applied to both sides of a transparent substrate a fully transparent window may be formed by omitting the opacifying layers on both sides of the transparent substrate in the window area.

A partly transparent or translucent area, hereinafter referred to as a "half-window", may be formed in a polymeric security document which has opacifying layers on both sides by omitting the opacifying layers on one side only of the security document in the window area so that the "half-window" is not fully transparent, but allows some light to pass through without allowing objects to be viewed clearly through the half-window.

Alternatively, it is possible for the substrates to be formed from an substantially opaque material, such as paper or fibrous material, with an insert of transparent plastics material inserted into a cut-out, or recess in the paper or fibrous substrate to form a transparent window or a translucent half-window area.

Opacifying Layers

One or more opacifying layers may be applied to a transparent substrate to increase the opacity of the security document. An opacifying layer is such that

$LT < L0$, where $L0$ is the amount of light incident on the document, and LT is the amount of light transmitted through the document. An opacifying layer may comprise any one or more of a variety of opacifying coatings. For example, the opacifying coatings may comprise a pigment, such as titanium dioxide, dispersed within a binder or carrier of heat-activated cross-linkable polymeric material. Alternatively, a substrate of transparent plastic material could be sandwiched between opacifying layers of paper or other partially or substantially opaque material to which indicia may be subsequently printed or otherwise applied.

In one disclosed embodiment, the gauge thickness of the lens array may be optimised with respect to the size of the image elements and the set of lens parameters.

In another disclosed embodiment, the lens parameters may be optimised with respect to the size of the image elements and the gauge thickness.

By choosing lens parameters such that the focal point size is correlated with the size of the image elements, the thickness of the lens array, or the lens frequency, can be reduced without substantially sacrificing image quality. This is because the majority of rays refracted through the lenslets and reaching the object plane will still intersect with the region covered by an image element at the desired viewing angle or angles and this allows the sampling effect to be maintained.

The thickness of the lens array can be reduced to provide a thinner lenticular sheet which still results in high quality

image effects. Alternatively, the thickness can be maintained whilst widening the lenslets to allow more printing to be included under each lenslet, thus improving image quality and/or allowing for more complex visual effects to be produced.

Optionally, the thickness of the lens array is less than the focal length of all of the lenslets.

In one disclosed embodiment, the predetermined amount by which the focal point size varies from the size of the image elements is not more than 20% of the size of the image elements. If the focal point size is larger than the size of the image elements, this allows for an even thinner lenticular sheet whilst substantially maintaining the desired image quality, since in general, only a relatively small portion of the power density distribution of the refracted rays will lie at the edges of the spot. If the focal point size is slightly smaller, the transitions between the image components producing the image effects can be made smoother.

The image elements may take the form of dots, lines or other shapes. The image elements may be applied to a surface in the object plane on the opposite side of the transparent or translucent material in a variety of ways, including laser marking. In one disclosed embodiment, the image elements are printed on the surface in the object plane. The method of the disclosed embodiments may include the step of applying a plurality of printed dots to a rear surface of the transparent or translucent material with the lenslets formed on a front surface to form an optically variable device or article. Alternatively, a plurality of printed dots may be applied to a substrate (for example, of fibrous or polymeric material), and the substrate attached to the rear surface of the transparent or translucent material.

The lenslets may be formed by an embossing process in a transparent or translucent radiation-curable material applied to a substrate. The transparent or translucent radiation-curable material may be cured following embossing, but is optionally embossed and cured substantially simultaneously. The substrate may be formed from a transparent or translucent polymeric material with the combined thickness of the substrate and radiation curable material corresponding to the gauge thickness of the lens array. In one disclosed embodiment, the substrate is a flexible, sheet-like structure, and the substrate and radiation curable material form part of a security document, such as a banknote, credit card or the like. The substrate may be substantially the same refractive index as the lenslets.

In one disclosed embodiment, the set of lens parameters is the same for each lenslet.

In another disclosed embodiment, the focal point size, when averaged over at least two directions within the lobe angle of the lenslet, is substantially equal to the size of the image elements, or varies from the size of the image elements by a predetermined amount.

The directions over which the focal point sizes are averaged may include the on-axis direction, and an off-axis direction near the edge of the lobe angle.

Another disclosed embodiment provides a method of designing a lens array for imaging a plurality of image elements in an object plane, the lens array including a plurality of lenslets and having a gauge thickness corresponding to the distance from the apex of each lenslet to the object plane, the method including the steps of:

estimating a scale parameter which is representative of the size of the image elements in the object plane,

selecting a set of lens parameters for each lenslet, and designing the lens array using the scale parameter to optimize the gauge thickness and/or at least one lens param-

eter of the set of lens parameters for each lenslet, wherein each lenslet has a focal point size in the object plane which is substantially equal to the size of the image elements, or which varies from the size of the image elements by a predetermined amount.

Optionally, the thickness of a lens array including the lenslets is less than the focal length of all of the lenslets.

The set of lens parameters may be the same for each lenslet. Alternatively, the lenslets in an area or areas of the lens array may have different lens parameters to the lenslets in the remainder of the lens array.

Optionally, the method further includes the step of measuring the sizes of the image elements in at least part of the object plane, wherein the scale parameter is estimated from the measured sizes of the image elements. The measurement may be performed using a densitometer, or alternatively may be performed by directly measuring the sizes of the image elements. Optionally, the image elements are part of a calibration template. In one disclosed embodiment, the image elements are printed lines or dots.

Measuring the sizes of the printed lines or dots allows the lens design to be tailored to the actual characteristics of the print, which may depend on the type of printing apparatus, inks and other materials, and prepress equipment used.

The scale parameter may be estimated by computing the mean or maximum of the sizes of the image elements. Alternatively, it may be estimated using a robust estimator, optionally an M-estimator, or one of the median, upper quartile or interquartile mean of the sizes of the image elements.

A further disclosed embodiment provides a method of manufacturing an optically variable device, including the steps of:

- providing a substrate;
- applying image elements to the substrate, the image elements being located in an object plane;
- determining a scale parameter which is representative of the size of the image elements; and
- forming a plurality of lenslets in a transparent or translucent material on the substrate;
- wherein each lenslet has a set of lens parameters determined so that the lenslets have a focal point size in the object plane which is substantially equal to the size of the image elements, or which varies from the size of the image elements by a predetermined amount.

In one disclosed embodiment, the scale parameter is determined by measuring the sizes of the image elements.

Optionally, the substrate is formed from a transparent or translucent sheet-like material with the lenslets formed in or on a first surface on one side of the substrate and the image elements applied to a second surface on the opposite side of the substrate. The lenslets may be formed in the transparent or translucent sheet-like material itself. Alternatively, the lenslets may be formed in a transparent or translucent layer, e.g. by embossing a radiation-curable transparent or translucent resin, applied to a substrate which may be transparent, translucent or opaque.

The image elements may be formed by any convenient process, including printing or laser marking. In one disclosed method, the image elements are printed dots.

Another disclosed embodiment provides an optically variable device, including a substrate and a plurality of lenslets formed in or on the substrate, and a plurality of image elements located in an object plane in or on the substrate, wherein each lenslet has a set of lens parameters determined so that the lenslets have a focal point size in the object plane

which is substantially equal to the size of the image elements, or which varies from the size of the image elements by a predetermined amount.

Optionally, the lenslets are part of a lens array having a gauge thickness which is less than the focal length of each of the lenslets.

A further disclosed embodiment provides an optically variable device including a lens array according to one disclosed embodiment.

An optically variable device manufactured by the methods above may be applied to a wide range of articles, though the disclosed embodiments have particular application to the field of security documents, and more particularly to security documents and articles formed from a flexible sheet-like substrate, such as banknotes or the like. The optically variable device may be formed in a window or half-window area of the security document.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Disclosed embodiments will now be described, by way of non-limiting example only, with reference to the accompanying figures, in which:

FIG. 1 shows a cross section through a lens array of prior art design;

FIG. 2 shows one embodiment of the lens array;

FIG. 3 shows a second embodiment of the lens array;

FIGS. 4 to 6 depict the on- and off-axis focal point sizes of three lenslets according to different embodiments;

FIG. 7 shows the input and output dot shapes of two different printing processes;

FIG. 8 shows the power density distributions of a prior art lenslet and a lenslet according to one embodiment, respectively;

FIG. 9 shows incident light rays being refracted through a lenslet according to one embodiment;

FIGS. 10 (a) and 10(b) depict the geometry of a lenslet;

FIGS. 11(a) to 11(d) illustrate a schematic cross-sectional view through an article incorporating a lens array and image elements and the intermediate steps by which the article is formed;

FIGS. 12(a) to 12(c) show a schematic cross-sectional view through an article similar to that of FIG. 11(d), made by a modified method;

FIGS. 13(a) to 13(d) illustrate a schematic cross-sectional view through an alternative article incorporating a lens array and image elements, and the intermediate steps by which the article is formed;

FIGS. 14 and 15 are block diagrams showing two different embodiments of a lens array manufacturing process for producing the articles of FIGS. 11 to 13;

FIG. 16 is a set of interleaved printed image elements of an example lenticular device; and

FIGS. 17(a) and 17 (b) illustrate the (simulated) relative illumination of points along the width of the image elements of the device of FIG. 16, when viewed (a) on-axis and (b) off-axis.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF DISCLOSED EMBODIMENTS

Referring initially to FIG. 1, there is shown a lens array 20 of prior art design, having a gauge thickness t , in which lenslets 22 having a width W and substantially spherical profile focus incident rays 28a and 28b onto the black dots 26a and the white dots 26b respectively. The dots have been

printed on lower surface **24**. The thickness t is substantially equal to the focal length of the lenslets, and so the focal point size **30** is at a minimum.

The focal point size **30** of the prior art lenslet is smaller than the resolution of the printing at the lower surface **24**. For example, traditional lenticular offset lithographic methods print an average halftone dot size of approximately 25 microns. A properly designed lenticular lens of 254 microns in width will collimate light to a focal point size of approximately five microns on axis, which is substantially smaller than the size of the printed dots **26a**, **26b**.

Referring now to FIG. 2, there is shown a lens array **120** according to one disclosed embodiment. Incident rays **128a**, **128b** are refracted towards dots **26a**, **26b** respectively. The lens array **120** has a thickness t' which is less than t , and each of the lenslets **122** has a width W . The lenslets **122** are designed in such a way that the focal point sizes **130a**, **130b** are substantially equal in extent to dots **26a**, **26b**. We have found that as long as the focal point size does not exceed the average width of a printed halftone dot by more than 20%, the quality of the image is not compromised. We have also found that simply producing an arbitrary non-focussing design severely degrades the image quality, resulting in an objectionably blurred image. The focal point size may also be slightly smaller than the average width, optionally no more than 20% smaller.

FIG. 3 depicts an alternative lens array design, in which lens array **220** is at the same thickness t as prior art lens array **20**, but the width W of each of the lenslets **222** has been increased. At the same time, other lens parameters have been varied so that incident rays **228a**, **228b** are refracted and arrive at the object plane **224** to intersect with dots **26a**, **26b** so that the focal point sizes **230a**, **230b** are again substantially equal in extent to the dots **26a**, **26b**. For example, the lens radius of curvature can be made larger, as shown in FIG. 3, possibly simultaneously varying other lens parameters such as refractive index, conic parameter or Abbe number in order to achieve the optimum image quality.

FIG. 4 illustrates a cross sectional side view of a ray trace of a wide angle lenslet **105** designed according to one embodiment. Rays **102** refracted at surface **101** reach the object plane **104** and result in focal point sizes **103A**, **103B**. In this embodiment, the focal point sizes of on-axis points **103A** and off-axis points **103B** have been weighted equally across the entire viewing angle of the lenslet, this angle also being known as the lobe angle.

FIG. 5 shows a cross sectional side view of a ray trace of an alternative wide angle lenslet **101** where the focal point width is substantially equal to the average width of printed halftone dots **109A**, across the lobe angle. In FIG. 6 there is shown a cross sectional side view of a ray trace of a further wide angle lenslet where the printed halftone dots **109A** are larger still, allowing for a further reduction in material thickness, or a coarser lens frequency, or both.

In FIG. 7 the top row of dots **110** represents digital pixels of a known width **109B** on a print calibration form that are output to a printing plate. Row **111** illustrates the printed result of printing row **110**, where noticeable dot gain results in an average dot width **109C**. Row **112** illustrates the printed result of printing row **110** using another print method, where the dot gain is even greater than row **111**, resulting in average dot width **109D**. In this illustration, a different lens design may apply for the printed dots in row **111** than the printed dots in row **112**, where the optimised lens design for row **111** may resemble FIG. 5, and the optimized lens design of row **112** may more closely resemble FIG. 6.

Referring now to FIG. 8, there is shown a projection view of a printed halftone dot **109C** imaged by a lenslet according to one embodiment. FIG. 8(a) shows contours **250** of the power density distribution **255** which would result if the object plane was located at the focal plane **252** of the array to produce spot **256** (FIG. 9). Instead, the spot **266** in object plane **262** is larger than the dot **109C**, but has a power density distribution **260**, **265** such that the majority of the incident radiation is still reaching dot **109C** in order to preserve the sampling effect.

In order to print a continuous tone image to paper or synthetic materials such as plastics, it is necessary to convert it to a halftone image. A number of methods for doing so are known in the art. Such methods represent continuous tone through the use of binary dots of either varying sizes, so called amplitude modulation (AM) methods, or dots of the same size with varying frequency, so called frequency modulation (FM) methods. Various combinations of the two methods, called hybrids, are also used. For the present purposes, any of these methods may be employed. However, the FM method, in its variety of forms including but not limited to dithering, error diffusion, or random or stochastic screening, is the preferred method because the dots remain generally constant in size.

Measurement of the characteristics of the printed halftone dots can be accomplished using a variety of known methods. For example, the average dot size can be determined by printing a press calibration template consisting of swatches of dots of a given size and having various densities, where each swatch typically represents a density value from one percent to ninety nine percent. The template is subsequently imaged to film or plate, and printed onto the smooth side of an optical effect substrate. The printed result is then scanned using a densitometer, or similar tool, to determine the printed dot size.

Alternatively, the average dot size can be measured directly, for example using a microscope fitted with a reticle displaying increments of measurement. In the direct method, a sample of dots can be measured in each tonal value range, recorded, and their sizes averaged.

We have found that measurement of dots at a tonal value of about 20% provides the best results.

In some instances it may not be possible or feasible to obtain the above measurements, because of varying press conditions or the like. If this is the case then an average expected dot size can be estimated, from previous experience or otherwise.

With reference to FIG. 10, we now describe one method of optimising the design of a lenslet for use in one disclosed embodiment. For the purposes of this non-limiting example, we take lenslet **300** to be an aspheric lens which is rotationally symmetric. This method relies on relatively simple theoretical calculations using geometrical optics, and ignoring edge effects at the periphery of the lens. The skilled person will appreciate that many other methods are possible, including the use of more sophisticated physical models, ray tracing simulations and so on.

In FIG. 10(a), an image element in the form of a printed dot **305** of full width H and half-width h is located in an object plane an unknown distance t (the gauge thickness) from the origin of the (x,y) coordinate system, which corresponds with the vertex **310** of the lens **300**. Lens **300** has a sag height s and a half-width w , and refractive index n (not shown in Figure). An optimal lens design will result in incident ray **320** which is incident parallel to the x axis, arriving at the edge **315** of lens **300** and refracted at an angle β intersecting the top of the dot **305**. We thus wish to find an

11

expression for t in terms of the lens parameters and the half-width h which is a scale parameter representative of the size of the dot **305**.

The equation of the lens profile function $y(x)$ is given by

$$P*x^2 - 2*R*x + y(x)^2 = 0,$$

where R is the lens radius at the edge **305** of the lens, and P is the conic constant and is equal to $1-e^2$, where e is the eccentricity. In principle, a more general lens profile function $y(x)$ including higher powers of x could be chosen. However, it is generally more convenient for lens design purposes to use the quadratic form of $y(x)$ as above.

The normal **330** to the surface of the lens at edge **305** ($x=s$, $y=w$) has a slope

$$m(x) = \frac{-1}{y'(x)}$$

where $y'(x)$ is the first derivative of $y(x)$. This slope is equal to $\tan(\alpha)$ where α is the angle between incident ray **320** and the normal **330**, and so

$$\tan(\alpha) = m(x)$$

so that

$$\alpha(x) = \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{2 * R * x - P * x^2}}{P * x - R}\right).$$

By Snell's law,

$$n_1 * \sin(\alpha) = n * \sin(\theta)$$

where θ is the angle between refracted ray **320'** and the normal **330**, and n_1 is the refractive index of air (taken to be 1 as an approximation hereafter). Hence

$$\theta = \arcsin\left(\frac{\sin(\alpha)}{n}\right).$$

The slope A of the line joining (s, w) and (t, h) is

$$A = -\tan(\beta)$$

and substituting for $\beta = \alpha - \theta$,

$$A = -\tan\left[\alpha(s) - \arcsin\left(\frac{\sin(\alpha(s))}{n}\right)\right]. \quad (1)$$

It is relatively straightforward to show that t can be written as

$$t = s + \frac{h - w}{A} \quad (2)$$

with A as in Eq (1) above and

$$\alpha(s) = \arctan\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{R^2 - P * w^2}}\right). \quad (3)$$

The thickness t can be optimised with respect to one or more of the lens parameters R , n , P , w and s in the usual way, i.e.

12

by taking the partial derivatives of the expression in Eq (2) with respect to one or more of those parameters and setting the partial derivatives equal to zero. The resulting system of equations can be solved analytically or numerically in order to find the set of lens parameters which gives the optimal lens thickness.

The optimisation may be a constrained optimisation. For example, there may be practical manufacturing limitations on the range of t and it thus may be desirable to limit t to that range of values. Constrained optimisation methods are known in the art.

The above formulae have been derived for incident rays parallel to the x axis. The derivation can be generalised for off-axis rays **340**, **350** and off-axis dots (FIG. **10(b)**) whereby one obtains

$$D = \|(M - m) * (t - s) + 2 * w\|,$$

where D is the off-axis dot size, M is the slope of the refracted ray **340'** at one edge **345** of the lenslet, and m is the slope of the refracted ray **350'** at the opposite edge **355** of the lenslet, with t being the desired gauge thickness, s the sag height and w the lens half-width as before.

When the angle of deviation, δ , of the incident rays is zero, $M = -m = A$, and the equation reduces to

$$D = 2M * (t - s) + 2w.$$

In this case, D becomes equal to $2h$, the full dot size, and

$$t = s + \frac{h - w}{A},$$

which corresponds to the expression for on-axis rays derived earlier.

Alternatively to the above, it is possible to optimise the lens half-width w as a function of some or all of R , n , P and s , while t may be held fixed. This can be done by rewriting Eq (2) in terms of w as follows:

$$w = h - A * (t - s).$$

If t is held fixed, a constrained optimisation may be performed to find the optimal lens half-width w .

As a further alternative, other lens parameters R , n , P or s may be optimised in a similar manner to the above.

The above model does not explicitly include a treatment of chromatic aberration. The skilled person will appreciate that the conic constant P and/or the Abbe number of the lens may be chosen to minimise chromatic aberration.

In FIG. **11(d)** there is shown an article **400** formed from a substrate **410** of transparent or translucent material having a lens array **420** formed on a front surface **411** on one side of the substrate **410** and image elements **426a**, **426b** formed on a rear surface **412** on the opposite side of the substrate **410**. In one disclosed method of manufacturing the article **400**, the image elements **426a**, **426b** are first applied to the rear surface **412** of the substrate **410** on the opposite side (FIG. **11(a)**). The image elements **426a**, **426b** may be applied by printing on the rear surface **412**, though they may be formed in or on the rear surface by other methods, including laser marking.

FIG. **11(b)** shows a transparent or translucent embossable layer **415** applied to the front surface **411** of the transparent or translucent substrate **401**. Optionally, the embossable layer is a radiation curable liquid, resin or ink, which may be applied by a printing process. The layer **415** is then embossed with an embossing shim **416** (FIG. **11(c)**) to form a plurality of lenslets **422** of the lens array **420** in the layer

13

415 in register with the image elements 426a, 426b on the rear surface 412 of the substrate 410. The embossed layer 415 may be cured by radiation, e.g. by UV, X-rays, electron beams or heat (IR), either simultaneously during the embossing process or subsequently, to fix the embossed structure of the lenslets 422 in the lens array 420.

Referring to FIG. 12 there is shown an alternative method for producing an article 500 similar to that of FIG. 11(d) in that it is formed from a substrate 510 of transparent or translucent material having a lens array 520 formed in an embossable layer 515 applied to a front surface 511 of the substrate and image elements 526a, 526b formed in or on the rear surface 512 of the substrate.

In the method shown in FIG. 12, the embossable layer 515 is first applied to the front surface 511 on one side of the substrate 510 (FIG. 12(a)) and then embossed by embossing shim 516 (FIG. 12(b)) before the image elements 526a, 526b are applied to the rear surface 512 on the opposite side of the substrate 510. Again, the embossable layer 515 may be formed from a radiation curable liquid, resin or ink which may be applied by a printing process, and may be cured by radiation either substantially simultaneously during the embossing process or thereafter. The image elements 526a, 526b may be formed by printing or laser marking on the rear surface 512 of the substrate 510 to form the resulting article 500 of FIG. 12(c).

In the resulting articles 400, 500 of FIG. 11(d) and FIG. 12(c), it will be appreciated that the lens arrays 420, 520 have a gauge thickness $t=p+q$, where p is the thickness of the transparent or translucent substrate 410, 510 and q is the thickness of the transparent or translucent layer 415, 515 measured from the front surface 411, 511 of the substrate 410, 510 to the apex of each lenslet 422, 522 after embossing.

In many cases, the thicknesses p and q of the substrate 410 and layer 415 will be predetermined, the average dot size $H=2h$ will be determined by the printing method or other process used to form the image elements, and one or more lens parameters, e.g. lens width $W=2w$, radius of curvature R, sag s, refractive index n or conic constant P may be optimised in relation to $t (=p+q)$ to create an embossing shim for forming the lens array 420, 520 in accordance with the process of FIG. 14 described later.

Referring to FIGS. 13(a) to 13(d) there is shown a method for producing an article 600 which has a lens array 620 formed in a transparent or translucent layer 615 applied over image elements 626a, 626b on a front surface 611 of one side of a substrate 610. The substrate 610 in FIG. 13 may be transparent, translucent or opaque since the lens array 620 and the image elements 626a, 626b are formed on the same side of the substrate 610. In the method shown in FIG. 13, the image elements 626a, 626b are first applied to the front surface 611 on the substrate, optionally by printing (FIG. 13(a)), before the transparent or translucent layer 615 is applied (FIG. 13(b)) and embossed by embossing shim 616. Once again, the embossable layer 615 may be formed from a radiation curable liquid, resin or ink, which may be applied by a printing process, and is cured by radiation either simultaneously or subsequently to fix the lens structure of the lenslets 622 of the lens array 620.

The resulting article 600 of FIG. 13(d) differs from that of FIGS. 11(d) and 12(c) in that the thickness p of the substrate 610 has no effect on the gauge thickness t of the lens array 620 which is substantially equal to the thickness q of the transparent or translucent layer 615 (allowing for the thickness of the image elements 626a, 626b). As the gauge thickness t of the lens array 620 of article 600 is likely to be

14

less than the gauge thickness t of the lens arrays 420, 520 of articles 400, 500 of FIGS. 11(a) and 12(a), the method of one disclosed embodiment may be used to compensate for the reduced gauge thickness by reducing the lens width W, or radius of curvature R, or adjusting other parameters of the lenslets 622 of the lens array 620, by appropriate variation of the shape of the embossing shim 616.

Referring now to FIG. 14, there is shown a flow chart for a process for creating an embossing shim for use with certain disclosed embodiments. Firstly, a calibration template is printed (step 700), and the dot size measured (step 710) as described above. Then an initial set of lens parameters is chosen (step 720) and the parameters varied in a multivariate optimisation process (steps 730, 740). Once a solution is found, an embossing shim can be created (step 750) for use in the fabrication process.

In FIGS. 15(a) and 15(b), flow charts of two alternative methods for forming an optical effect article are shown. In both cases a substrate is provided (step 800). The process shown in FIG. 15(a) is suitable for forming the articles 400 and 600 of FIGS. 11 and 13. In the embodiment of FIG. 15(a), two or more interleaved images are applied to a front or rear surface of the substrate (step 810), optionally by printing. A radiation curable ink may then be applied to the front surface of the substrate (step 820), for example by a printing process, and the ink is then embossed with an embossing shim obtained from step 750 of FIG. 14. The ink is then cured to form the lenslets of the optical effect article in the embossed surface. The curing step may occur substantially simultaneously with the embossing step (step 830). In FIG. 15(b), the radiation curable ink is instead applied to one side of the substrate first (step 840). The ink is then embossed with an embossing shim obtained from step 750 of FIG. 14 and cured to form the lenslets (step 850). The image elements are then applied to the side of the substrate opposite the lenslets, in register with the lenslets, in order to form the optical effect article.

Example

Referring to FIG. 16, there is shown an example of a printed interleaved image which is used to produce a binary "flipping image" effect when paired with a suitable lens array. In the example shown, the image elements are black stripes 901 interleaved with white stripes 902. When viewed through a lenticular lens array having lenslets 930, a device having a combination of lenticular array and image elements 901, 902 produces a switch from the image 910 shown at upper left in FIG. 16 to image 920, in which black and white areas are reversed, as the device is tilted with respect to the viewer about an axis parallel to the direction of the stripes.

The black and white stripes 901, 902 were applied to a substrate by gravure printing. Upon measurement using the reticle of a microscope, the black stripes were found to have an average width of 32 microns, while the white stripes had an average width of 31.5 microns. The average value of 32 microns for the black stripes was taken to be the scale parameter representative of the size of the image elements. The width W of the lenslets 930 (shown overlaid in outline on the printed image elements 901, 902) was fixed at 63.5 microns, and the gauge thickness t optimised using the expression in Equation (2). This resulted in an optimum gauge thickness t of 90 microns at a sag height s of 10 microns and a radius of curvature R of 55.4 microns,

15

compared to a gauge thickness of approximately 162 microns if the image elements were located at the nominal focal length of the lenticules.

In order to check that the focal point sizes of lenticules having the above design were sufficiently close to the image element size to produce the desired flipping image effect, the above parameters were input to a ray tracing simulation in optical system design software produced by Zemax Development Corporation and sold under the trade mark ZEMAX. The relative illumination plots shown in FIGS. 17(a) and 17(b) can be used to determine the focal point size, this being the distance between the pairs of points (960a, 960b) and (961a, 961b) respectively where the relative illumination drops to zero. It can be seen that the on-axis focal spot size 951 is approximately 30 microns while the off-axis focal spot size 952 is approximately 23 microns. An "average" image element viewed on axis will thus be within 6%-7% of the focal spot size.

It will be appreciated that various modifications may be made to the disclosed embodiments described above without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. For example, it is possible that lenslet structures of a lens array could be directly embossed into a surface of a transparent or translucent substrate, instead of into a transparent or translucent embossable layer applied to a substrate. Also, whilst printing one disclosed process for forming image elements, the image elements may be formed by laser marking. In this case, it is possible for a laser to be directed through a transparent or translucent substrate or layer from a laser source on one side of the substrate or layer to mark a laser sensitive surface on the opposite side of the substrate or layer to form the image elements after the lens array has been formed.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method of manufacturing a display device, comprising the steps of:
 - providing m images of an object, wherein m is at least equal to 2,
 - dividing each image into sets of adjacent arrays of picture elements, spaced at a mutual distance,
 - applying the adjacent arrays of picture elements from each image in an interlaced manner on an image layer in sets of interlaced arrays below a lens structure comprising line-shaped lens elements over the image layer with one line shaped lens element overlying a corresponding set of adjacent arrays,

16

wherein upon applying the arrays onto the image layer, and/or upon providing the lens elements, each array of picture elements is provided onto the image layer in an out-of-focus manner to form a blurred array, or each array is imaged by the lens elements to form a blurred array, wherein a mutual distance of edges of adjacent blurred arrays is smaller than the mutual distance by which the adjacent arrays of picture elements are spaced.

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the array of picture elements is provided onto the image layer in an out-of-focus manner by means of printing.

3. A method according to claim 1, wherein the array of picture elements is provided onto the image layer by laser marking.

4. A method according to claim 1, wherein the edges of adjacent blurred arrays are substantially touching.

5. A method according to claim 1, wherein each picture element has a width, and each lens element has an effective focal width in the image layer, and wherein the width of each picture element is increased relative to the effective focal width of each lens element, or the effective focal width of each lens element is increased relative to the width of each picture element such that the increase in width of the picture elements caused by applying the arrays in an out-of-focus manner is between 0% and 20%.

6. A display device comprising an array of lens elements overlying an image layer with sets of interlaced arrays of picture elements produced by the method according to claim 1.

7. A display device comprising
 a substrate, an image layer, and a number of line-shaped lens elements,
 wherein the image layer comprises interlaced arrays of picture elements, the lens elements being situated a distance t above the image layer,
 wherein a focal plane of the lens elements is situated at distance f from the lens elements,
 wherein f is either larger or smaller than t, and each picture element has a width, each of the lens elements has an effective focal width at the image layer which varies from the width of the picture elements by a predetermined amount, and wherein the predetermined amount by which the effective focal width varies from the width of the picture elements is not more than 20% of the size of the picture elements.

* * * * *